

# MUNI NEWS

VOLUME 91, ISSUE 1

Website: [www.munileague.org](http://www.munileague.org)

Winter 2001

## WHAT A YEAR!

*League Chairman, Tom Albro*

Dear friend and fellow member,

What a pleasure it is for me to inform you that your Municipal League is doing well. We are fiscally sound and solidly engaged in making our communities better places and our local government more effective.

By all accounts, 2000 was a very successful year for the League. We faced head-on our organizational challenges. We refocused on our mission. We re-established member-based standing committees. We met our fundraising goals and held to our budget. We ended the year with increased membership. We rated every legislative candidate in King County. With your help and support, we have turned ourselves around.

Now it's time to keep moving forward and gather steam.

This year is off to a great start. We have raised over \$45,000 toward our fundraising goal of \$75,000. This is our largest source of financial support, so please join with your fellow members and make a special gift of any amount today. Our fundraising drive will end soon on March 31, so don't delay.

In March, thanks to the generous support of AT&T Wireless, 50 Muni League members (it could be you!) will join with 50 students from local high schools for a trip to Olympia to meet with legislators and have a tour of the inner workings of the Capitol. The Civic Awards Luncheon and Annual Membership Meeting will be held on June 8<sup>th</sup>. In July our Candidate Evaluation Committees will start their work rating as many of the municipal races throughout the county as possible. The Election Watch party will be held on election night in November, one of the most enjoyable Muni League events of the year.

Of course the real work of the Municipal League happens during the ongoing efforts of our committees, on which every member is invited to participate. It is here that the League grapples with the issues of energy management and planning, regional transportation planning and governance, election reform (primaries and initiatives), and "Taking the Pulse" of our fellow citizens. Please volunteer!

The League is back. So get involved and make your corner of the world a better place.

See you there,

Tom Albro, Chairman

## Join us in Olympia!

What's really going on down at the State Capitol? How does a bill become law? What do lobbyists really do?

See it all up close and personal on March 27, 2001.

That's the day the League will be sponsoring a day-long field trip to Olympia for 50 League members and volunteers. Joining us will be 50 high school students from across King County.

Thanks to generous support from AT&T Wireless we are able to provide this opportunity to League members for only \$10 (\$20 for non-members), including lunch and transportation to and from the Capitol.

Buses will leave Seattle at 8:30 am, arriving in Olympia by 10:00 am. Starting with a behind the scenes tour of the Capitol campus, including visits to legislative chambers, statewide officials offices, and the Temple of Justice, sit in on a mock hearing to see how a bill becomes law.

After lunch with elected officials, there will be a panel discussion with a cross-section of lobbyists to learn more about their role in the legislative process. Later in the afternoon you will have time to meet with legislators and sit in on committee hearings before the buses leave at 4:30 p.m. arriving at Seattle at 6:00 p.m.

Space for the field trip is limited, so reserve your place early by calling the League office at 206-622-

## INSIDE THIS ISSUE

### Page

- |       |                                      |
|-------|--------------------------------------|
| 2     | Showcase on Evergreen State Society  |
| 2     | Events Calendar                      |
| 3     | League Committees at Work            |
| 4     | Recommendations on Primary Elections |
| 5 - 8 | Special Report: Sound Transit Update |

Contributors to this issue: Tom Albro, Putnam Barber, Deryl Brown-Archie, Lonnie Lusardo, Jocelyn Marchisio, Tom Page & George Scott

## CALENDAR OF EVENTS

TUESDAY FEBRUARY 20 8 – 9:30 P.M.  
THE EVERGREEN STATE SOCIETY  
SPEAKER: DAVID HARRISON,  
FORMER DIRECTOR OF THE NORTHWEST FORUM  
AT SEATTLE UNIVERSITY'S BELLARMINE HALL  
(12<sup>TH</sup> & COLUMBIA) IN THE 1891 ROOM

FRIDAY FEBRUARY 23 12-1:30 P.M.  
CITY CLUB LUNCHEON  
WITH FORMER SECT'Y OF LABOR ROBERT REICH  
AT THE SEATTLE WESTIN HOTEL  
CALL 206-682-7395 FOR RESERVATIONS

SATURDAY FEBRUARY 24 9:30 A.M. – 1:30 P.M.  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES ANNUAL RETREAT  
MERCERVIEW COMMUNITY CENTER  
8236 SE 24<sup>TH</sup>, MERCER ISLAND

FRIDAY MARCH 2 12-1:30 P.M.  
CITY CLUB: ENERGY FORUM  
AT WASHINGTON ATHLETIC CLUB  
CALL 206-682-7395 FOR RESERVATIONS

MONDAY MARCH 12 12 – 1:30 P.M.  
CITYCLUB: LEGENDARY LEADERS LUNCH  
WITH DAN EVANS, JIM ELLIS, &  
PATSY BULLITT-COLLINS  
CALL 206-682-7395 FOR LOCATION & RESERVATIONS

MONDAY MARCH 12 6 – 8:00 P.M.  
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING  
MUNICIPAL LEAGUE CONFERENCE ROOM  
810 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 230, SEATTLE

MONDAY MARCH 19 6 – 8:00 P.M.  
BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING  
PRESTON, GATES & ELLIS, LLP  
701 FIFTH AVENUE, 50<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR,  
CONFERENCE ROOM 9

### Highlighting Our Partners for Civic Life in King County

## THE EVERGREEN STATE SOCIETY: SUPPORTING NONPROFITS

*By Putnam Barber*

The Evergreen State Society (TESS) is a Seattle-based organization that works to help nonprofit organizations with management questions and policy issues. Last year TESS published "Nonprofits in Washington", (available from the Society's website at <http://www.tess.org>). It also manages the website called the Internet Nonprofit Center (<http://www.nonprofits.org>).

Every month, friends and supporters of The Evergreen State Society gather for an informal breakfast on the campus of Seattle University where they discuss with a guest ideas suggested by the title "Civil Society in Everyday Life." The January topic was eDemocracy, and the question posed by Prof. John Collins of the Institute of Public Service was whether the rise of the Internet will strengthen or weaken American democratic institutions.

Earlier guests have included David Brewster, Executive Director of Town Hall, Lai-Lani Ovalles and Norma Straw of the Metro Center YMCA Youth Engagement Project, and City Council Member Richard Conlin.

The meetings are open to the public and drop-ins are welcome. They are held on the third Tuesday (next meeting is on February 20th) from 8 to 9:30 am.

On March 26, 2001, The Evergreen State Society will cosponsor a day-long conference of researchers and practitioners discussing "The High Performing Nonprofit" at the NW Rooms of the Seattle Center.

For further information and to register for the conference, see the web page at <http://www.nwforum.org/nonprofit>. The conference is supported by a grant from the Bank of America. Other sponsors of the day are the Evans School of Public Affairs at the University of Washington and the Center for Nonprofit and Social Enterprise Management at Seattle University.

## A FREE LUNCH?

We all know there's no such thing..

That's why we need your support to organize the 42<sup>nd</sup> Annual Civic Awards Luncheon. This year's event is on Friday, June 8<sup>th</sup>. Meet great people and help recognize the best of King County's civic leaders. Planning begins in March.

**Call the League office at 206-622-8333 to volunteer or for ticket information.**

## League Committees at Work:

League Committees are meeting regularly. The committees are a terrific way to play an active role in *your* Municipal League, while learning about the inner workings of local government.

To participate, contact the League office at (206) 622-8333, or e-mail [muni@munileague.org](mailto:muni@munileague.org) for meeting times and locations. Find updated meeting information in the "Upcoming Events" section of the web page at [www.munileague.org/events.htm](http://www.munileague.org/events.htm).

**Seattle Governance:** Recent meetings featured speakers from the Seattle Budget Office and Seattle City Light. The next meeting, on water use, is February 28<sup>th</sup> at 12:30 p.m. in the law offices of Davis Wright Tremaine on the 26<sup>th</sup> Floor of the Century Square Building, 1504 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

*Chair: Lucy Steers*

**King County Governance:** Next meeting is on March 1st, at the Muni League Conference Room, 810 Third Avenue, Room 224 in Seattle. Join us for a closer look at King County government.

*Chair: Deb Dollard*

**Bellevue/Suburban Cities Governance:** What is going on in cities and towns around the county? What issues are important to them? What's their relationship with county government? Contact the League office or visit the web page for information on the next meeting date. *Chair: Dave Russell*

### Issues Committee:

See the committee's reports on Primary Elections and the Sound Transit update in this issue. Next meeting is on February 28 at 12:30 p.m. at the Muni League Conference Room, 810 Third Avenue, Room 224 in Seattle with a speaker on Sound Transit.

*Chair: Jocelyn Marchisio*

### Communications Committee:

Volunteer from home by putting your reporting, editing or web design skills to work on this very active committee maintains the web site, publishes the Muni News, and gets the word out on League activities. Call the office for the March meeting time and location. *Chair: Lonnie Lusardo*

## Advertising in the Muni News

You might have noticed the ad on page two for Shirey Contracting, a new business member of the League, in this issue. Advertising revenue supported the Muni News for over 50 years. Today it allows us to produce a larger newsletter with the in-depth articles members want.

Find out how your business can advertise in the Muni News by calling 206-622-8333.

## Candidate Evaluation Committee: Moving Ahead In 2001

Since the completion of the Candidate Evaluations last August, the CEC Steering Committee has been carefully reviewing the details of the program. On the agenda have been practical questions like the organization of the training sessions, ways to encourage consistency in the interviews and ratings, and forms for publication of the results.

The Committee has concluded that the process will be more consistent and effective if it is focused closely on the four criteria of involvement, experience, character and knowledge. In December, a group of CEC volunteers tested this idea with a mock interview; the results are being used to plan for 2001.

King County and every city, plus many school boards, hold elections this year. The League will need to rate a large number of candidates. The Trustees have approved five area committees; in the next month we will identify chairs and vice-chairs. Recruiting for committee volunteers will start in late spring. Please contact the League if you are interested in volunteering.

Anyone who would like to receive announcements from the Steering Committee and notices of future meetings should call the office at 206-622-8333 or send email to [muni@munileague.org](mailto:muni@munileague.org) to have their name added to the list.

*-- Putnam Barber, Chair, CEC Steering Committee*

## Membership and Fundraising

In October, the Membership and Development Committee agreed on an ambitious plan to raise 300 new members and \$75,000 by March 31, 2001. Letters were sent to 2500 civic activists and Board members personally wrote and called over 450 prospects. Special thanks to John Giese of The Rockey Company for his good advice on improving the League's outreach efforts.

Vice Chair Tom Tierney is coordinating corporate fundraising dollars which stands at \$30,000 in early February. This strong support from the business community reflects renewed vitality of the League

In addition to these fundraising efforts, the Seattle Foundation made a \$10,000 grant in December to purchase computers software, a new phone system and other needed office modernizations. The League also received a generous gift of \$5,000 from AT&T, allowing the League to offer our field trip to Olympia on March 27<sup>th</sup>.

*-- George Scott, Chair, Membership & Fundraising*

**OPEN PRIMARY:  
PRIVATE DECLARATION BEST CHOICE**

*By Jocelyn Marchisio*

If we used the term nominating elections instead of primary elections, their purpose would be better described. In 1935, Washington adopted the blanket primary when nominating candidates for office. It is one of only three states that has used this method. Under the blanket primary system, the names of all candidates, regardless of party affiliation, are grouped together under the office for which they seek nomination. The name of each candidate's political party is placed after his or her name on the ballot. Voters are permitted to vote for any candidate they choose irrespective of party affiliation. This plan makes it unnecessary for voters to declare their party affiliation at any time and gives the independent voter the fullest opportunity to select candidates of either or both parties.

Critics of the blanket primary contend that in a primary election, voters should not be permitted to vote for candidates of both political parties because it weakens party responsibility and permits cross-over voting and raiding. Supporters of the blanket primary contend that the voters want to see the best people selected to run for office irrespective of party affiliation and that the blanket primary increases voter participation. Since 1935, the blanket primary has withstood challenges in state court, and legislative proposals to amend the blanket primary have failed to pass. However, a recent decision of the U.S. Supreme Court has made it necessary to modify the way in which Washington voters nominate partisan candidates.

In 1996, an initiative was passed in California that replaced that state's closed primary nominating system with a blanket primary. On June 26, 2000, the US Supreme Court issued a ruling in the case of California Democratic Party et al v. Jones. The Court determined that California's blanket primary violated a political party's First Amendment right of association, by violating a political party's right to select its nominees.

The Washington State Republican and Democratic Parties have challenged Washington State's blanket primary in federal court. Under an agreed order, the 2000 primary was not opposed by the plaintiffs. The order also stipulated, however, that after the 2000 general election, the state Legislature must adopt new election laws that do not conflict with the political parties' associational choices, or the federal court would order changes to the nominating process.

The Secretary of State has proposed several possible alternatives to the blanket primary. He held several

hearings in the fall of 2000 to gather input from the public on 8 options to be considered.

(For more expanded descriptions, go to [www.secstate.wa.gov/elections](http://www.secstate.wa.gov/elections)).

There are several different systems for nominating partisan candidates in use in the United States at present. Fifteen states use the Closed Primary – Independents Excluded, thirteen states use the Closed Primary-Independents Included, eleven states use the Open Primary-Public Declaration of Party, nine states use the Open Primary-Private Declaration of Party.

Since the Supreme Court ruling on the Blanket Primary, California and Alaska have gone back to a Closed Primary so Washington and Louisiana are the only two using the Blanket Primary.

With the findings from the public hearings and the ruling from the Supreme Court in mind, the Municipal League of King County adopted the following criteria for selecting a new form of primary election for the state:

- It must meet the requirements of the United States Constitution.
- It must be as close to the current blanket primary as possible.
- It must provide as much choice to the voter as possible.
- It must provide as much independence to the voter as possible.
- It must provide as much privacy to the voter as possible.

Of the various alternatives, a "qualifying primary" received more support than any other option at the public hearings. Under this system all voters are allowed to participate and to choose from among all candidates for office without regard to party affiliation. The top two candidates at that election then go on to the general election ballot without regard to party, even if they are both from the same party. Only Louisiana uses this system and Louisiana is known to be a one party state. Based on the fact that the blanket primary has already been challenged in court in Washington, it is safe to assume that the Louisiana system would not be agreed to by the political parties in our state.

Since the public does not wish to give up the present primary system, the new system should be as close to the present one as possible. Of the options at the hearings, the Municipal League of King County supports an Open Primary with a private declaration of party.

This option most closely meets all of the criteria and thus is the most acceptable.

# UPDATE ON SOUND TRANSIT

## *Municipal League Follow-up*

In 1994, the Municipal League established a diverse RTA study committee to evaluate and recommend to the Board a position on the proposed Regional Transit Authority's \$6.7 billion three-county ballot measure for 1995. The Municipal League Board, after reviewing the RTA Study Committee's Findings and Recommendations, agreed to support the 1995 and 1996 RTA ballot measures. Voters in the region turned down the first RTA proposal on March 14<sup>th</sup> by a vote of 46.5% to 53.5%.

After the 1995 loss, the RTA Board formed an Advisory Committee to get more public input and develop revisions for a new ballot proposal. The RTA significantly revised its previous proposal, and adopted "Sound Move". It included a "sub-regional equity policy" which assured that funds from each of the five sub-regions could only be used within the sub-region of origin. With other changes and reductions in plan costs, they submitted a \$3.9 billion proposal to regional voters on Nov.5, 1996.

By a vote of 57% YES to 43% NO, the voters authorized the RTA Plan with a .4% sales tax and a .3% regional motor vehicle excise tax to provide the regional funds for Phase 1 construction and operation of the Regional Express Bus, Sounder Commuter Rail and Link Light Rail systems.

## ***Where is Sound Transit's (RTA) Link Today?***

In November 2000, Sound Transit's Executive Director Bob White announced that the new estimated cost to complete the complex Link Tunnel from downtown to the University district had increased from the original \$500 million to \$800 million. The original budget was \$2.6 billion. By extending the schedule to 2009 rather than 2006, and increasing the budget \$1 billion to 3.6 billion in year of expenditure dollars from the original 2.6 billion in 2006 dollars the entire Link Light Rail Phase I project could be completed.

The Executive Director recommended that negotiations with Modern Transit Constructors on the design/build contract for the Link Tunnel through Capitol Hill to the University District be suspended. The Board asked the staff for an explanation of why the tunnel cost estimate is different from what was budgeted for the project. A January 11, 2001 report was to include not just why the numbers are different, but also a review of the process that brought Sound Transit to this point. The Link Director Paul Bay resigned. Bay was replaced by the former manager of the Portland MAX light rail project, Tuck Wilson. He is the new acting director. In October 2000, Joni

Earl, former county administrative officer for Snohomish County had been appointed to a new Sound Transit position, that of Chief Operating Officer (COO).

## **December 2000 ST Board Meeting**

Under the direction of the COO and the acting Link Director the Sound Transit Board was presented with a "**Central Link Briefing Book**", dated 12/14/2000, in response to the Board's November request.

It documented the Reason for Link, the Project Status, the History of the Link Tunnel Cost Estimates, the Chronology of the Design/Build Tunnel Negotiations, the Revised Costs to Complete, the Affordability of the Project, the Route and Station Options., Schedule and Contracting Options, the \$500 million first phase Federal Full Funding Grant, Information on Getting to Northgate, Public Outreach and a Decision Schedule.

The background history and current evaluation led to the following initial conclusions summarized by the staff in the December ST staff's report to the Board and the community. The reasons given for the agency's underestimation of tunnel costs were the following:

1. Staff's enthusiasm to remain optimistic about the original tunnel cost estimates and schedules and underestimating Link project contingencies;
2. Limited competition on bidding;
3. Pressures of the 2006 Phase I completion schedule that increased estimated overtime costs;
4. New information on soil conditions, particularly under the ship canal;
5. Costly third-party agreements with the U. of Washington, King County, Metro and Seattle;
6. Overall, an unfavorable market due to many other regional construction projects.
7. The inexperience of U.S. contractors with design/build rather than bid/build proposals on tunnels.
8. Lack of an adequate system of Link project management cost controls.

Sound Transit's goal in the period between December 14<sup>th</sup> and January 11, 2001 was to provide outreach to the public, receive public comments and hold a dialogue with communities affected by the project revisions. From January 11<sup>th</sup> to August 1<sup>st</sup> the goal was to provide a six months review with more information and open up public opportunities to participate in refining the Link Project, based upon decisions to be made at the January 11<sup>th</sup> Sound Transit Board meeting. (*Continued on page 6*)

## **UPDATE:**

### **Other Sound Transit Lines of Business**

#### **Link-Tacoma**

A surface 1.6 miles of light rail transit line has been planned and the project is on time and on budget, and out to bid. It will be built on existing street right-of-way from the Tacoma Dome area's newly completed inter-modal parking structure, to the Tacoma downtown district. The Tacoma/Seattle Sounder commuter rail station is being built near the Tacoma Dome. At the moment, Sounder's Tacoma/Seattle Commuter rail riders are using a temporary Sounder station three blocks away.

#### **Sounder Commuter Rail**

The first phase of Sound Transit's three-county Commuter Rail service was opened behind schedule on September 9, 1999 and has been handicapped because of unfinished "valley city" stations. It uses existing BNSF tracks under a contract, with conventional diesel locomotives and passenger coaches. There will be a total of 13 Sounder stations between Lakewood, Tacoma, Seattle and Everett. The second phase from Everett to Seattle is to start service in early 2002, along with the third phase from Tacoma south to Lakewood, on improved existing rail right-of-way. There are joint WSDOT/Sound Transit inter-modal terminals (rail, buses and ferries) planned at Mukilteo and Edmonds.

#### **Regional (Bus) Express**

Sound Transit has purchased new articulated buses and developed contract agreements with local transit partners to operate ST's Regional Express bus routes. In September of 1999, nine ST express bus routes were put into service. In May of 2000 another express route was added and in September 2000, three more express routes were initiated. The new express ridership is growing. In addition, Regional Express oversees the Community Connections transit center/transfer site program, HOV access ramps, HOV related improvements such as new park-and-ride lots, flyer stops and proposed HOV lanes on I-90. They also coordinate "inter-modal integration", insure compliance with the Americans for Disabilities Act (ADA), regional fare integration, ST Phase II Planning and the ST Research and Technology Fund.

*(Continued from page 5)*

The staff proposed that the Board adopt a revised project budget and schedule at its January 11<sup>th</sup> meeting. It also recommended that the Board authorize the ST Executive Director to sign a Full Funding Grant Agreement for the \$500 million grant that had been approved by Congress, with the Federal Transit Administration in support of the Link project.

#### **Public Outreach and Information**

Sound Transit held two open houses in the "Grand Hall" in Union Station on January 8 and 9th, from 4 to-8:30 p.m. The public was invited to see displays, get more information, testify to a court reporter or to the Staff and Board members, or write responses to the ST Board, staff and managers about any element of the ST lines of business.

Recently completed Public Opinion Surveys were released indicating continued support of Link. Videotapes of the displays and testimony were also made of the Open House. The tapes were to be shown on public access TV. Letters, E-mails, public outreach to elected officials, businesses and communities in the region resulted in a wide range of opinions on current light rail issues and on what action the Sound Transit Board should take.

Supporters of the Link rail system were concerned about increased costs, improved cost control and the erosion of public confidence in the project. Opponents, including two former Governors, testified that the project costs too much for the benefits, that it would not solve traffic congestion and that a free bus system and/or Monorail could provide better and more cost-effective alternatives.

#### **January 11<sup>th</sup> ST Board Meeting**

At the ST Board's Public Hearing on January 11<sup>th</sup> most Public Testimony was opposed to continuing with Link or accepting the \$500 million FTA grant that Congress had approved in the 2001 Federal Budget. The ST Board received additional information from a revised January 2001 Central Link Briefing Book, information from Peter Peyser, Sound Transit's Congressional lobbyist, on Federal FTA "new starts" grants program. He recommended that the Board accept the FTA grant. The 1998 6-year T-21 Congressional appropriation for New Rail Starts was completely allocated. There would be no more allocations unless there was a default by one of the existing recipients until 2004 when another 6-year Transportation funding budget would be approved by Congress.

*(Continued on page 7)*

(Continued from page 6)

A report of an independent “Peer Review Committee” consisting of four public finance professionals from the city of Seattle, King and Snohomish County and the Port of Seattle, and a

member of the Citizen’s Oversight Panel was made available to Board members. In it they reported that the revised financial plan falls within the “range of reasonableness but in the high end range”. They concluded:

1. The ST financial model was well designed and comprehensive. It assumes that the inflation rate will be stable and that tax (sales and regional MVET) revenues will grow faster than costs.
2. They found that, without additional financial resources, the plan has no flexibility in the North King Sub-area through 2016. Currently there is no financial capacity for Phase II expansion of the rail system in the North King sub-regional area before 2016.
3. The Plan assumes an aggressive effort to secure a second Federal Grant of \$913 million in about 2004; if the grant is smaller, the plan’s scope and schedule would have to be adjusted.
4. To recommend that ST staff subject the agency’s Financial Plan to an annual “stress test” to assess the implications of changes in financial and plan assumptions or conditions.
5. They found that there was no consistency in the presentation of ST financial information to the public. They recommended that ST choose a consistent base of reporting and use that base in the future. Sound Transit staff recommended that, in the future, year of expenditure (YOE) dollars be the base to eliminate any confusion.

ST staff presented information from the updated January 2000 Link Briefing book. There was a discussion of the revised long range Financial Plan and ST Resolution #R2001-1, which authorized execution of a full-funding federal grant, amended the Link budget and the 10 year regional Transit Plan.

Statements in support of the Resolution were made by Board members and the Resolution passed on a vote of 14 to 1. (Board member McKenna voting in the minority.)

Sound Transit’s state enabling legislation and its adopted rules requires a two-thirds majority vote to make major changes to the Plan, Budget or Schedule.) ST Chair Dave Earling announced that two of the 3 absent Board members had stated to him that if they had been able to attend, they would have voted with the majority. – *Issues Committee*

### **The Municipal League would like to thank our supporters in the business community:**

ABM-Janitorial  
Arthur Anderson  
AT&T Wireless  
Bader Martin Ross & Smith  
Ben Bridge Jewelers  
Berk & Associates  
CB Wright  
Davis Wright Tremaine  
FC Bloxom  
Foster Pepper Sheffelman  
Harborview Medical Center  
Homestreet Bank  
Kirkpatrick Family FDN  
Municipal Research & Services Ctr  
Nordstrom  
PerkinsCoie  
Port of Seattle  
Premera Blue Cross  
Preston Gates & Ellis  
Railsafe  
Redrock Mortgage  
Seattle Building & Construction Trades Council  
Seattle Children's Home  
Seattle Steam Company  
Shannon & Wilson  
Shirey Contracting  
Simpson Investment  
Turner & Pease Company  
Washington Mutual Bank  
Washington Research Council  
Western Discount Corp  
Weyerhaeuser  
Woodland Park Zoo

---

### **Welcome to our new members:**

Jeff Ballaine, William Block, Bruce Bradburn, Rita Brogan, Deborah Daoust, Brewster & Patricia Denny, Deb Dollard, John Dollard, Addie Rose Dunlap, Patrick Dunn, Mike Estey, Kris & Bill Ferguson, Bruce & Patricia Finlayson, Bruce Hanson, Wilda Heard, Kate Hemer, Rodolfo Hurtado, Nancy Ingallinesi, W. Bruce Jones, Barbara Kaiser, Gwen Maxfield, Richard & Sandra Monroe, Joe Norman, Kathleen O'Connor, Dave Osmer, Larry Phillips, Mary Pinkham, Judith Pippin, Lizbeth Pisk, Peggy Pullen, Charles E. Roth, Susy Schneider, Kirvil Skinnarland, Charles Telford, Brian Thomas, Laura Walker, Linda Wells.

